

The Federal Communications Commission

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

I am told that "under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customer."

This would definitely be unfair. You would be stealing money from people like me to lower fees for more affluent people. It would be a regressive tax, and it would make me very angry. I use a "Tracfone" prepaid cellular phone for emergencies only. The monthly fee is very low, much lower than any plan I could get from a regular service provider. Since I keep it in the car for emergencies only, I've rarely even used the phone, and I've never used it for long distance calls. Why should I pay a flat fee equal to someone who racks up hundreds of minutes every week and spends hours every month using long distance? The answer is I shouldn't have to pay the same flat fee.

If you do this, what you would be doing is tantamount to thievery.

Sincerely,

Anthony Whitaker
1317 Wellfleet Court
Virginia Beach, Virginia 23464